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Background: Associations between economic conditions and health are usually derived from cost-intensive surveys that are intermittently collected with nonspecific measures (i.e., self-rated health).

Purpose: This study identified how precise health concerns changed during the U.S. Great Recession analyzing Google search queries to identify the concern by the query content and their prevalence by the query volume.

Methods: Excess health concerns were estimated during the Great Recession (December 2008 through 2011) by comparing the cumulative difference between observed and expected (based on linear projections from pre-existing trends) query volume for hundreds of individual terms. As performed in 2013, the 100 queries with the greatest excess were ranked and then clustered into themes based on query content.

Results: The specific queries with the greatest relative excess were stomach ulcer symptoms and headache symptoms, respectively, 228% (95% CI=35, 363) and 193% (95% CI=60, 275) greater than expected. Queries typically involved symptomology (i.e., gas symptoms) and diagnostics (i.e., heart monitor) naturally coalescing into themes. Among top themes, headache queries were 41% (95% CI=3, 148); hernia 37% (95% CI=16, 142); chest pain 35% (95% CI=6, 313); and arrhythmia 32% (95% CI=3, 149) greater than expected. Pain was common with back, gastric, joint, and tooth foci, with the latter 19% (95% CI=4, 46) higher. Among just the top 100, there were roughly 205 million excess health concern queries during the Great Recession.

Conclusions: Google queries indicate that the Great Recession coincided with substantial increases in health concerns, hinting at how population health specifically changed during that time.


Introduction

Studies have linked recessions with poorer health using surveys,1–3 but rising costs4 mean fewer questions are included with preference for nonspecific items such as self-rated health.2,5 Moreover, the time associated with collecting and developing data sharing protocols means data sets are not publicly available for years.6 Given these limitations, to understand the potential health effects of the December 2008 through 2011 U.S. Great Recession, investigators turned to expert opinion,5,8 community studies,9,10 or convenient samples.11 Web data are emerging to fill these surveillance gaps.12–17 For instance, it was previously found that in the U.S., Google queries for psychological distress increased 16% (95% CI=9, 24) for each 1% increase in home foreclosures the prior month.18 Herein, that approach is expanded by assessing the hypothesis that health concerns, primarily of psychosocial etiology, also increased during the Great Recession. By monitoring hundreds of systematically selected Google query trends, this novel approach takes the traditional self-rated health questionnaire to the next level by identifying precise health concerns by the query content and their prevalence by the query volume.
Methods

Search trends in the U.S. were downloaded from Google Trends (google.com/trends), Google’s public database. Trends automatically returns a weekly relative search volume (RSV) time series, reflecting the proportion for queries inputted by the investigator relative to all queries each week, then normalized on a 0 to 100 scale to the highest observed search proportion (e.g., RSV=50 is 50% of the highest search proportion). This corrects for increases in absolute searches over time due to changes in Internet access or disposable time.

Root terms were used for the most common health concerns of psychosomatic origin18 including with economic etiology20–24, chest, headache, heart, pain, and stomach. Additional terms were added using Trends’ related-terms utility, which identifies associated terms by either content (e.g., contains similar language) or users search behavior (e.g., a search session for headache might involve anxiety). The 50 most-related terms for the five roots and the 10 most-related terms for these queries were considered, yielding 2755 candidate terms for these queries were considered, yielding 2755 candidate queries. Duplicates and unrelated (i.e., tool chest) or unclear/nonspecific (i.e., symptoms) terms were removed, yielding 1044 terms. Queries that had more than 5% of weeks with very low volume (RSV<1) were purged, yielding 343 terms.

The Great Recession was defined as December 2008 through 2011.25 The cumulative weekly difference between weekly observed RSV and counterfactual RSV (derived from a projection of the best-fitting line from January 2006 through November 2008) was divided by the mean counterfactual value, representing the percentage increase over expected RSV during the Great Recession.

Figure 1 displays a spaghetti plot and mean trend for excess searches during the U.S. Great Recession. All queries had a relative excess of 26% (95% CI=3, 138) during the Great Recession. Figure 1 also shows example trends for stomach ulcer symptoms and headache symptoms queries; each with pronounced increases during the Great Recession. Searches were expected to decline five RSV each year for both; however, they increased rapidly during the Great Recession, even reaching their peak proportion (RSV=100).

Figure 2 shows rankings by RSV excess during the Great Recession for the top 100 significantly increasing queries. Leading the top 100, stomach ulcer symptoms had 228% (95% CI=35, 363) and headache symptoms 193% (95% CI=60, 275) greater relative volume during the Great Recession, accounting for roughly 1,480,000 and 1,520,000 excess queries, respectively. Across the top 100, about 205,000,000 excess health concern searches occurred during the Great Recession. Queries typically involved symptomology (i.e., anxiety symptoms [57%; 95% CI=12, 86]) or diagnostics (i.e., heart rate monitor [31%; 95% CI=3, 69] or 1,890,000).

Figure 3 displays rankings for thematic groups of queries. Headache queries had the greatest excess relative volume over the Great Recession (41%; 95% CI=3, 48), followed by hernia queries (37%; 95% CI=16, 142); chest pain queries (35%; 95% CI=6, 313); and arrhythmia queries (32%; 95% CI=3, 149). A common topic across themes was pain, with gastric (19%; 95% CI=5, 45); back (19%; 95% CI=4, 112); and joint pain (11%; 95%
CI=1, 21) queries higher than expected. Toothache-related queries were 19% (95% CI=4, 46) greater than expected during the Great Recession, including teeth pain (31%; 95% CI=6, 46) and toothache (13%; 95% CI=1, 37). Themes also involved cancer, potential respiratory infections, and reproductive health, with cancer searches up 32% (95% CI=5, 105); congestion up 26% (95% CI=1, 201); and pregnancy up 22% (95% CI=6, 49).

**Discussion**

The U.S. Great Recession was associated with increases in a range of health concerns, potentially indicative of worsening population health. Changes comprised arrhythmia, back pain, cancer, congestion, chest pain, gastric pain, headaches, hernia, joint pain, pregnancy, toothache, and ulcer concerns.

**Implications for Improved Surveillance**

The study herein provides a new methodological lens for one of the most studied phenomenon in public health. Most studies rely on self-reported survey responses, where those affected by a recession report generally poorer health or poorer physical functioning. Internet search queries, however, are potentially a more-specific measure, identifying the precise health concern under investigation and potentially any relating health problem.

This approach can be especially useful for hypothesis generation and empirically identifying outcomes for inclusion in surveys. For instance, investigators may use query trends to understand how individuals describe their symptoms to inform specific question wording. As health concerns around recessions vary over time/geography, query archives provide the potential to measure unique time/geographic changes for the provision of investigator resources.

**Implications for Health and Health Advocacy**

Query-based sentinels may inform clinical practice, where clinicians use health concern query trends to alter their screening. The stigma surrounding some concerns or limited access to healthcare delivery may prevent patients from engaging with clinical care. The Internet can potentially be a low-stigma and low-cost venue to reach patients who search for but do not otherwise receive screening or treatment for their concerns. Sponsored search results appearing on the first page of links for concern queries may direct searchers to webpages with information, professional screening, referrals to clinicians, and online treatments.
Figure 3. Health concern themes during the Great Recession

Note: Each bar represents the mean excess search volume during the Great Recession, and each line the 95% CI for that excess, as estimated from an interrupted time series, comparing the ratio of observed relative search volume (RSV) during the Great Recession versus expected volume (as estimated from a historic linear projection). Query themes are ranked by the mean percentage increase. Each bar is indicated by a group of similar queries, with the specific queries included in each category described in the text and included in Figure 2. Arrows indicate values of the CI outside of the axis range. Themes with fewer than two search terms were omitted.

Limitations

Health concerns manifested on Google search may differ from the population as a whole for many reasons, including multiple queries emerging from one household, demographic profiles of Internet searchers versus nonsearchers (including non–English speakers) and queries being unrelated to a true concern. Nevertheless, query trends are representative of population trends for many health and nonhealth outcomes.

The selection of root terms affected the outcomes studied, however, many of the subsequent terms identified share no direct commonality with the roots, for example, pregnancy test, suggesting minimal bias. The etiology of some concerns was unclear, for example, heart rate monitor may be indicative of a concern over sinus tachycardia or an interest in monitoring rates for exercise. However, the majority of queries could be linked to a specific health problem (e.g., ulcer). Only increases during the Great Recession were monitored (as strongly influenced by prior expectations), however, a series of placebo tests find query patterns for other outcomes that should have declined indeed do, for example, Washington Mutual. The true counterfactual cannot be observed, but no obvious biases were identified in this linear projection.

The Great Recession has diverse impacts on health, including some positive ones (i.e., fewer automobile-related fatalities). However, many positive effects relate to resource constraints, in contrast to the psychosocial mechanistic focus in this study that suggests recessions are bad for health. It may be possible to explore positive recession impacts using queries, but this awaits future study.

Conclusion

This study represents a novel use of web data, suggesting query trends may provide a sensitive, specific, timely and cost-effective account of how precise health concerns in the U.S. changed during the Great Recession. Extensions of this approach are needed to measure similarly detailed accounts of health concerns and their relationship with the socio-political environment. Regardless, this study outlines the initial steps toward fulfilling the promises of big data for preventive medicine.
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